SINGLE BENCH

03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 203 OF 2005

PETITIONER: Jawaharlal Kochar, aged about 49 years, Son of Shri B.D. Kochar, Working as Officiating Secretary, Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Arang, District Raipur Chattishgarh.

VS

RESPONDENTS:

1. Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi Vipnan Board, through its Managing Director, Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi Vipnan Board, Purana Ganj Mandi Parisar, Raipur-Chhattisgarh.
2. Joint Director (Estt.) Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi Vipnan Board, Purana Ganj Parisar, Raipur – Chhattisgarh.
3. Deputy Director, Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi Vipnan Board, Purana Ganj Parisar, Raipur – Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 16631 dated 15.6.05 Presented by Shri Dr. Ramlu

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT/WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, OR ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTIONS/DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-78

27

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ·w.p.No.1703/05····· **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3-5-2005 Heard Miss Sharmila Singhai, counsel for the petitioner. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The main grievance of the petitioner is that even though the petitioner is within the zone of consideration and he is entitled to be promoted on the post of Junior Secretary, but he has not been promoted on extraneous considerations. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even though the names of 22 persons were there and out of that only 15 persons have been promoted and remaining 8 posts are still lying vacant. She further submitted that the persons above the petitioners have been promoted and the petitioner has not been promoted in spite of the posts, which are lying vacant. In the circumstances, the petitioner ought to have been promoted on the post which is lying vacant. She further submitted that the petitioner has already made a representation but no order has been passed on that. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, having perused the records and also looking to the limited request made by learned	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

28

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

counsel for the petitioner which appears to be just and fair, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition before respondent No.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn respondent No.1 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in an objective manner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A. No.3294/2005 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge